

EXPLANATION OF HOW KEY ELEMENTS OF THE BENCHMARK METHODOLOGY REFLECT ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL, AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) FACTORS

Solactive ISS ESG Future of Plastic USD Index TR



This document provides an explanation of how the key elements of the benchmark methodology reflect ESG factors. It is compiled in accordance with the requirements of point (d) of Article 13 (1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 (the "Benchmarks Regulation") and of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1817.

General Information

Name of the benchmark administrator	Solactive AG
Type of benchmark or family of benchmarks	Equity
Name of the benchmark or family of benchmarks	Solactive ISS ESG Future of Plastic USD Index TR
Does the benchmark methodology for the benchmark or family of benchmarks take into account ESG factors?	Yes

ESG Factors Applied in the Benchmark Methodology

List of environmental factors considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> > Exclusion of companies with ongoing involvement in Coal Mining, Arctic Drilling, or Oil Sands. > Exclusion of companies involved in products or services regarding Plastic, polymers, microbeads or cigarette with a substantial negative impact on the Environmental Objective of preserving marine ecosystems > Securities in the Index are selected based on a Plastic Waste Solutions Score, which is calculated combining plastics-related corporate rating factors and a score for sustainable revenues: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Corporate Score: Companies are graded along multiple dimensions of plastics related topics. These dimensions are split into high materiality dimensions and medium materiality dimensions. High materiality: 1. Strategy to increase the share of bioplastics 2. Strategy on the prevention of marine microplastic 3. Closed loop recycling of textile waste 4. Controversies relating to the reduction of waste across the product lifecycle 5. Percentage of petroleum based recycled fibres Medium materiality: 1. Waste collected for recycling and reuse 2. Extension of useful product life 3. Measures to reduce the impact of packaging 4. Percentage of recycled materials 5. Take back and recycling of products 6. Recycling of waste components 7. Product lifecycle 8. Measures to enhance useful product life 9. Facilitation of take back and recycling The average grade of the high materiality dimensions and the medium materiality dimensions is calculated separately and then combined to the aggregate Corporate Score. Here, 80% weight is placed on the average high materiality grade and 20% on the medium materiality grade. b. Sustainable Revenues Score: For each company, the percentage value of its products and services revenue with a contributing positive impact on the achievement of the objective "optimizing material use" is measured. Only products and services with a link to plastic or polymers and relating to recycling, reusability, packaging and refilling are considered. The Plastic Waste Solutions Score is calculated by combining the Corporate Score (10%) and the Sustainable Revenues Score (90%). Companies for which the score calculation is not possible due to missing or incomplete information, as well as companies receiving a score of 0, are removed.
---	--



List of social factors considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none">> Exclusion of companies with verified ongoing failure to respect established norms regarding Gender and Racial Discrimination or included in UN or US Sanctions Lists> Exclusion of companies with verified ongoing involvement in the area of controversial weapons (including chemical, biological and nuclear weapons, cluster munitions, anti-personnel mines, and Civilian firearms (assault, automatic, semi-automatic, high-cap magazines)).> Exclusion of companies generating revenues (in proportion to their total revenues) >0% from production or >5% from services in the sector of tobacco.
List of governance factors considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none">> Exclusion of companies with verified ongoing failure to respect established norms regarding Gender and Racial Discrimination or included in UN or US Sanctions Lists

Data and Standards used

Data Input	The data used to construct the index is sourced externally from Institutional Shareholder Services Inc.
Verification and quality of data	The provider of ESG-related data input is selected by the Administrator based on an assessment of its existing processes in order to ensure the reliability and representativeness of the ESG-related data. The data provider has established processes in accordance with accepted and established market standards that ensure the permanent quality and reliability of the ESG-data provided.
Reference standards	International standards referenced by the index methodology are listed in the respective section above.
Information updated on	01/07/2022
Reason for update:	Initial creation of the ESG Report.

CONTACT

Solactive AG
German Index Engineering
Platz der Einheit 1
60327 Frankfurt am Main
Germany

Tel.: +49 (0) 69 719 160 00
Fax: +49 (0) 69 719 160 25
Email: info@solactive.com
Website: www.solactive.com

© Solactive AG